

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
Robert Everett Parkhill	77666-10/jlo	3152
2/2006	EXAM	INER
	PICH, PON	NOREAY
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2135	
1	Robert Everett Parkhill	Robert Everett Parkhill 77666-10/jlo 202/2006 EXAM PICH, PON ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/892,490	PARKHILL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Ponnoreay Pich	2135

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 and 14-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: .

Response to Amendment and Arguments

It is noted that at least claims 1, 3, 7, 11, and 15 were amended by applicant in the response filed on 10/10/2006, thus changing the scope of these claims and claims dependent on them. These proposed amendments and arguments with respect to these amended claims are not entered as the amendments change the scope of the claims, thus requiring further search and consideration by the examiner.

As per claim 14, applicant argues neither Hsu nor Lopez discloses or suggests that the lifetime of an assertion could be requested by a user. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Hsu discloses a certificate system where the certificates created either expire by a certain time or after a certain number of uses (col 5, lines 2-37). When requesting the certificate, the requester submits purposes to which the requester wish to apply the certificate (col 4, lines 56-60). This implies requesting lifetime of the certificate because as one skilled in the art should appreciate, different purposes for the certificate/assertion requires different certificate times. Further, Lopez also discloses request of time for a specific purpose (paragraph 35). In view of the combination invention of Hsu and Lopez, it would have been obvious to have that purpose be for assertions/certificates.

As per claim 17, applicant argues that Lopez tracks unallocated funds rather than unallocated time. The examiner respectfully asserts that whether time is tracked using traditional time units, i.e. minutes, hours, second, days, etc., or with respect to funds, the end result is that time is being tracked since a certain amount of fund is worth a certain amount of time. In his disclosure, Lopez even talks about adding, subtracting,

Application/Control Number: 09/892,490

Art Unit: 2135

allocating, and updating time (paragraph 11), thus he is viewing fund and time as being

interchangeable.

UV MIX

Page 3

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100